Saturday, 9 September 2023 19:55

The profis very similar (and partially take from /lased on) to the proof of theorem 1.7. We consider a machine Mi\* that has the maximum load, and we consider the last job j\* Icheduled on Mix. If j\* = m, the j\* is the only job I cheduled on Mix - this is true because the greedy algorithm schedules the first mjobs on different marking. Here, our algorithm is optimal in this case. Now consider the case jet > m." Here, we a derive that  $\begin{aligned} & \text{load} \ j \left( \begin{array}{c} M_{i} * \end{array} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \underset{\substack{i \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq i \\ i \neq i \\ k}}{ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \text{for all involves on all inv$ theload without T job j \* theload on Mi\* before assigning j\* must be below areage that bady at most the look of all jobs, mins that of j \*.

Thus, we get that

load 
$$(Mi^{n}) = load (Mi^{n}) + t_{j^{n}} = \frac{1}{m} (\sum_{i \leq j \leq n} t_{j}) + (1 - \frac{1}{m}) t_{j^{n}}$$
  
From lemma 1.3, it follows that the first term is low-ded by OPT; thus, we get

 $load(M;*) \leq OPT + (1-\frac{1}{m})t_j*$ 

From lemma 1.6, we have that 
$$t_m + t_{m+1} \leq OPT$$
. Thus, we also have that ince  $j^* > m$ , and the jobs are ordered is  
 $i_m = t_{j^*} \leq t_{m+1} = t_{m+1} \leq t_m$   
 $t_{j^*} \leq t_{m+1} \leq \frac{t_m + t_m + r}{2} \leq \frac{OPT}{2}$ 

$$load (Mi^*) \leq OPT + (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \frac{1}{2} OPT$$
$$= OPT (1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2m})$$
$$= (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}) OPT$$

