- 1. Probably: take ϵ so small that the size of the solution must be larger than the size of the optimal solution, minus 1.
- 2. No; the proof depends on the fact that the running time of the FPTAS is polynomial in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$. If the running time of the PTAS is exponential in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, then, since $\epsilon =$, we would have that the running time also becomes exponential in |V|, which is perfectly possible, even if Maximum Independent Set is NP-hard and P \neq NP.

Exof: Usene alg (6, E) is an FPTAS that computes a (1-E)-approximation for Maximum Independent let on a graph G. The, we can create the following algorithm:

MIS(G):

1. € ← 1 nfl

2. return alg (G, E)

This algorithm provides an exact solution to movemen independent set in polynomial time;

To see why, Note that Olf, as an FPT AS, must provide an answer which is at least (1-E)OPT in size. We know that $OPT \leq n$, as no more than all not the vertices integrant can be in the more independent set. Herce, $(1-E)OPT = OPT - E \cdot OPT \leq OPT - E \cdot Turthemore$, we have that the size of a morainum independent set must be an integer; Herce, by setting $E = \frac{1}{n+1}$, we obtain that

En < 1, and here, ε·OPT ≤ En < 1, or: ε OPT < 1. Then, (1-ε) OPT > OPT -1;

this implies that We must have that the size of the volution of the FPTAS is OPT since it must be a integer strictly greater that OPT-, and can obviously not be above OPT.

Here, this algorithm gives on exact solution to the problem of mercinum independent set.

Furthernore, since ALG is an FPT As, the algorithm "lung in time polynomial to loth n and = n +1.

Since we have that maximum independent set is now solved is polynomial time, and this rolling is NP-bard, We have that P=NP. But assuming PFNP, this gives a contradiction;

herce, it must be the Case that our assumption is wary: We must have that a FPT AS force morinum independent set common that P=NP.

(ii) no; aPTAS may still seist. aPTAS may have running time separated in ϵ , which, for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n+1}$, would make the PTAS i. MIS (for our object of ϵ) run in time separated in n. This does not contradict P = NP.