

idea to improve greedy-scheduling:

1. first, sort the jobs from largest to smallest
2. second, run greedy-scheduling on the sorted input

Theorem ordered-scheduling is a $\frac{3}{2}$ -approximation algorithm

assume there are at least $m+1$ jobs; otherwise, every job can get its own machine, leading to a trivially optimal solution
 some machine has load at least $t_m + t_{m+1}$, since the machine with job m will have the lowest load after being assigned job m and hence be assigned job $m+1$ as well

$$LB(I) = \max\left(\frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n t_j, \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} t_j, t_m + t_{m+1}\right)$$

Let I be an arbitrary input instance

Case 1: $j^* \leq m$. Then job j^* gets its own machine, since all of the first m jobs can get their own machine.

Then, the makespan must be optimal, since it is impossible to reduce the load where the job determining the makespan is already running on its own machine.

Case 2: $j^* \geq m+1$. Then,

$$\text{ordered-scheduling}(I) \leq \text{Load}^*(M_{j^*}) + t_{j^*}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{j^*} \leq t_{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}(t_m + t_{m+1}) \text{ because } j^* \geq m+1 \text{ (and the jobs are sorted)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{j^*-1} t_j + \frac{1}{2}(t_m + t_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^n t_j + \frac{1}{2}(t_m + t_{m+1})$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot LB(I) \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot OPT(I)$$

note: both of these components cannot be greater than the maximum in the lower bound otherwise, they would determine the value of the maximum, leading to a contradiction

It is also possible to prove a lower bound of $\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2m}$.

The modification of the proof is similar to the one used in the previous lecture for greedy-scheduling.