## Exercise 8.4

The claim is false. To see why, consider the following stream for $\epsilon=\frac{1}{3}: \sigma=\langle 1,1,2,2,3,3,3,3\rangle$. Clearly, the only element which is $\epsilon$-frequent in this case is 3 . Furthermore, we have that $\frac{1}{\epsilon}=3$; thus, we have that $|I| \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ (and hence, that counters are decremented) when a third element is added to $I$. As a result, the algorithm will proceed as follows:

| Stream element being <br> processed | State of $I$ after processing element, where $(j, c)$ denotes an element and <br> its counter value |
| :--- | :--- |
| start | $\emptyset$ |
| 1 | $\{(1,1)\}$ |
| 1 | $\{(1,2)\}$ |
| 2 | $\{(1,2),(2,1)\}$ |
| 2 | $\{(1,2),(2,2)\}$ |
| 3 | $\{(1,2),(2,2)\}$ |
| 3 | $\{(1,2),(2,2)\}$ |
| 3 | $\{(1,2),(2,2)\}$ |
| 3 | $\{(1,2),(2,2)\}$ |

The reason why the algorithm does not add the element 3 to its elements is that, whenever it is being added, it is the only element which has counter value 1 ; this element will then be the only element to be (immediately) removed from the set $I$, and it will never stay in the set. Thus, we see that decrementing the counter only when it has value 1 will lead to incorrect solutions, and hence, the claim must be false.
(More generally: as soon as all elements in the set $I$ have a counter value of at least two, no element in the set can be removed from it (apart from a newly added element being removed immediately after being added); if an $\epsilon$-frequent item appears for the first time after this happens, then it will never stay in the set $I$ and will hence not be reported in the end.)

