Exercise 8.4

zaterdag 7 oktober 2023 16:37

The claim is false. To see why, consider the following stream for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$: $\sigma = \langle 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3 \rangle$. Clearly, the only element which is ϵ -frequent in this case is 3. Furthermore, we have that $\frac{1}{\epsilon} = 3$; thus, we have that $|I| \ge \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ (and hence, that counters are decremented) when a third element is added to *I*. As a result, the algorithm will proceed as follows:

Stream element being processed	State of I after processing element, where (j, c) denotes an element and its counter value
start	Ø
1	{(1,1)}
1	{(1,2)}
2	{(1,2), (2,1)}
2	{(1,2), (2,2)}
3	{(1,2), (2,2)}
3	{(1,2), (2,2)}
3	{(1,2), (2,2)}
3	{(1,2), (2,2)}

The reason why the algorithm does not add the element 3 to its elements is that, whenever it is being added, it is the only element which has counter value 1; this element will then be the only element to be (immediately) removed from the set *I*, and it will never stay in the set. Thus, we see that decrementing the counter only when it has value 1 will lead to incorrect solutions, and hence, the claim must be false.

(More generally: as soon as all elements in the set *I* have a counter value of at least two, no element in the set can be removed from it (apart from a newly added element being removed immediately after being added); if an ϵ -frequent item appears for the first time after this happens, then it will never stay in the set *I* and will hence not be reported in the end.)